



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DRW Oct-06

MANDEL & ADRIANO
SUITE 710
55 SOUTH LAKE AVENUE
PASADENA CA 91101

COPY MAILED

NOV. 01 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Syed Z. Salhuddin	:	DECISION ON PETITION TO
Application No. 09/991,583	:	WITHDRAW HOLDING OF
Filed: 16 November, 2001	:	ABANDONMENT
Atty Docket No. 30418.2US01	:	

This is a decision on the petition filed on 14 August, 2006, to withdraw the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application was held abandoned for failure to timely respond to the Office action requiring restriction or election mailed on 1 July, 2003, which set a one (1) month shortened statutory period for reply. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 5 March, 2004.

Petitioner, *pro se*, asserts that the Office communication mailed on 1 July, 2003, was never received.

In the absence of any irregularity in the mailing of the final Office action, there is a strong presumption that the final Office action was properly mailed to practitioner at the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the final Office action was not in fact received. The showing required to establish the failure to receive an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office action was not received. A copy of the docket record where the non-received Office action would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's

statement. See "Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received" 1156 Official Gazette 53 (November 16, 1993) and M.P.E.P. § 711.03(c). The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the final Office action may have been lost after receipt rather than a conclusion that the final Office action was lost in the mail.

A review of the record indicates that the Office action was properly mailed to the petitioner at the correspondence address of record at the time of mailing. Thus, there was no irregularity in mailing the Office action on the part of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

In support of the petition, the petitioner has submitted a statement by inventor Syed Z. Salhuddin, stating that he reviewed file for the above-identified application and has no record of ever having received the Office action mailed on 1 July, 2003. Petitioner further sets forth the procedure by which mail would be opened by an assistant, brought to petitioner, noted for action, and placed into a file for the patent application.¹

The petitioner has made a sufficient showing of nonreceipt of the Office action. Accordingly, the Notice of Abandonment is hereby vacated and the holding of abandonment withdrawn.

The petition is GRANTED.

The application file is being referred to Technology Center 1600 technical support staff for remailing of the Office action mailed on 1 July, 2003. The period for reply will be reset from the mailing date thereof.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

¹ It is also noted that petitioner filed letters on 19 April and 17 November, 2004, stating that the Office communication had not been received.